

Mr. Zimmer, Ms. García, Ms. LaMotte-Resolution in Support of the “March for California’s Future”

Whereas, Over \$20 billion has been slashed from the California State budget over the past two years, and the Governor has proposed still more cuts in 2010-2011;

Whereas, Cuts in public education, public health services, public safety programs, and public infrastructure result in a deterioration of the vital services that the people of California need and deserve;

Whereas, Current State tax and economic policies provide inequitable tax loopholes for corporations and the richest residents at the expense of public programs that serve all Californians;

Whereas, The State of California is the only State in the nation that requires an untenable two-thirds legislative supermajority to pass both a State budget and new taxes;

Whereas, A broad coalition of labor, faith, education, community, civil rights, and other groups are leading a “March for California’s Future” from Los Angeles to Sacramento that began March 5, 2010, for 48 days, to focus public attention on the severity of the State budget crisis and to urge the Governor and Legislature to support sustainable, viable budget solutions – and not a “cuts-only” strategy;

Whereas, The March is a historic symbolic event to urge our elected leaders to promote fairer, more equitable, and responsible economic policies and budget decisions that will fund California’s future and serve California’s residents; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) Board of Education endorses the March for California’s Future;

Resolved, That the LAUSD Board of Education will work with March allies to advocate for progressive tax policies to resolve State budgetary problems that affect the provision of quality public education and other public services; and be it finally

Resolved, That the LAUSD Board of Education will work with March allies to advocate for the replacement of the two-thirds supermajority requirement needed to pass a State budget by the Legislature with a more feasible, fair, and sensible majority vote requirement.